

Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:33 PM

Roll Call

Present

Gordon J. Kuzon, Mayor

Paul Atkinson

Lawrence R. Brenton Trustees

Connie C. Murray

Theresa L. Cummins, Village Clerk

Thomas V. Troy, Village Attorney

Edward Way, Dept. of Public Works

Absent

William Bancroft, Trustee

Mayor Kuzon called the meeting to order at 7:33 PM.

At the recent Williamsville Art Society show in Glen Park a Purchase Award was won by Walter Holt. Mrs. Jane Miner and Henry Moore presented Mr. Holt's award winning painting to Mayor Kuzon and the Village and it will be hung in the Village Clerk's Office.

Mayor Kuzon advised that former Fire Chief Evor Williams was recently named the recipient of the Service Award of the Erie County Volunteer Firemen's Association. He stated that he and Trustee Brenton had attended the awards ceremony. We are proud of his service to the Village and the fire prevention program he conducts in the Village. Former Chief Williams, Joe Greer and Herb Stoeckl showed their new uniforms to be worn in their fire prevention work.

Former Chief Williams stated the fire prevention program has been very successful and having the uniforms on has made it easier for them to go into homes where they may have not been permitted before. He stated it was through the Board's efforts that things like this happen. They are grateful to the Village and everyone on the Board and thanked them very much.

Mr. Greer stated that in light of their combined 105 years of service the Village is not sending in rookies to do the fire prevention work. Mr. Stoeckl stated he has 37 years, Mr. Greer has 46 and their Chairman Evor Williams has 23 years.

ON MOTION by Trustee Atkinson, seconded by Trustee Murray, the minutes of the regular meeting held July 28, 1980 were approved.

Unanimously carried.

ON MOTION by Trustee Murray, seconded by Trustee Brenton, it was moved to suspend the rules at 7:39 PM in order to hold a public hearing regarding the Village's proposed Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Program. (The hearing was closed at 8:10 PM.)

Unanimously carried.

Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:33 PM

Following the public hearing, Mayor Kuzon thanked Mr. Kloeber for his assistance in this effort and for his fine presentation. He also thanked the whole Board for their work on this. This issue has been often discussed and hopefully we have now moved from discussion to the grant and then construction.

Mayor Kuzon stated we have recently received our audit from the State. It is available for your review in the Village Clerk's Office.

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Atkinson, vouchers in the sum of \$76,482.23 were approved as follows:

| | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Payroll Fund | W/E 7/30/80 | \$ 4,947.70 |
| | W/E 8/6/80 | 4,624.88 |
| General Fund | Abstract #470 | 24,477.91 |
| Sewer & Water Fund | Abstract #51 | 1,002.86 |
| Trust & Agency Fund | Abstract #90 | 9,777.34 |
| Federal Revenue Sharing Fund | Abstract #96 | 293.54 |
| Capital Fund | Abstract #101 | <u>31,358.00</u> |
| Total Vouchers | | \$76,482.23 |

There were large vouchers for: Marine Midland Bank - \$30,500.00; Hutchinson Hose Co. - \$8,476.58; Amherst Disposal - \$9,683.27; Niagara Mohawk - \$3,643.04; and Buffalo Crushed Stone - \$2,432.01.

Unanimously carried.

Mayor Kuzon advised that his second resolution authorizes our Clerk-Treasurer to attend the Annual Training School for Fiscal Officers and Municipal Clerks at Grossingers. This year we are pleased to announce that Mrs. Cummins will not only attend the school but will be the moderator for two of the sessions - one on social security and one on retirement.

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Murray, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED that Theresa L. Cummins, Clerk-Treasurer, be authorized to attend the Annual Training School for Fiscal Officers and Municipal Clerks at Grossingers, Grossinger, New York September 30 - October 3, 1980 and the expenses not to exceed \$300.00 to be paid by the Village of Williamsville.

AUTHORIZATION

Clerk to attend annual training school for fiscal officers

Unanimously carried.

Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:33 PM

Mayor Kuzon stated his third resolution calls for a public hearing to consider the topic of whether, for property tax purposes, the senior citizens exemption should be raised from \$8,000 to \$9,200. This will be held at our next meeting.

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Murray, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED that the Village Clerk publish notice of public hearing to be held on August 25, 1980 at 7:35 p.m. in the Municipal Building, 5583 Main Street, Williamsville, New York for the purpose of hearing all persons interested in a local law which would increase the earning limits of Senior Citizens from \$8,000 to \$9,200 and still enable them to qualify for the 50% Senior Citizen Exemption.

PUBLISH NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Senior Citizen Exemption

Unanimously carried.

Trustee Brenton advised that the Department of Public Works has repaved Reist Street and have received some compliments on it and some complaints. The complaints relate to the duck crossing on the street and the danger for them. He stated if there are problems there the police department should be able to handle them.

Trustee Brenton stated that the Department of Public Works is working in front of the administration building even though it won't be able to be included in the funding discussed tonight. We felt it was better to do this now while the Main Street work was being done by the State rather than wait and have to open the street up again after it was completed.

Trustee Brenton stated his resolution deals with Station No. 2 of the Hutchinson Hose Company. Since this station was constructed, the Village has leased space for some of their equipment and we do this on an annual basis.

ON MOTION by Trustee Brenton, seconded by Trustee Atkinson, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED that the Mayor be authorized to sign a lease with Hutchinson Hose Company for rental of Fire Station #2 from June 1, 1980 to May 31, 1981, 1750 square feet, annual rent \$4,500.00.

FIRE HALL

Mayor authorized to sign lease for Station #2

Unanimously carried.

Trustee Murray reported that the summer youth program that has been doing work in Glen Park will end next week. We ask that you go to the park and see what a fine job they have done there. When the program

Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:33 PM

ends, the Village and Town will pick up the care of the park for September and October.

Trustee Murray advised that last week, while she was on vacation, they received a call from Parallel Protection who were hired to take care of security in the parks. They advised that they were going out of business and that their protection would end at midnight on Thursday. As I was unavailable, Mayor Kuzon and the Glen Park Joint Board had to find someone to take over this function for us. We have been paying \$4.90 an hour and have been able to find someone to do it for \$4.99 per hour. This is a small jump but is not bad for having to find someone in a pinch.

ON MOTION by Trustee Murray, seconded by Trustee Brenton, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED that Globe Protection, Inc. is hereby appointed as park guards for Island Park, Garrison Park & Glen Park at the rate of \$4.99 per hour effective August 8, 1980.

APPOINTMENT
Park Security

Globe Protection
Inc..

Unanimously carried.

Trustee Atkinson referred the audience to a listing of the issued building permits which is attached to tonight's agenda.

Mr. Way advised they will be paving the first block of North Ellicott from Main Street to Eagle Street.

ON MOTION by Trustee Murray, seconded by Trustee Brenton, it was moved to suspend the rules for public participation.

Unanimously carried.

No one appeared to speak.

ON MOTION by Trustee Atkinson, seconded by Trustee Brenton, it was moved to return to the regular agenda.

Unanimously carried.

ON MOTION by Trustee Murray, seconded by Trustee Atkinson, the meeting was adjourned at 8:18 PM.

Unanimously carried.

Theresa L. Cummins,
Village Clerk-Treasurer

Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:39 PM

Roll Call

Present

Gordon J. Kuzon, Mayor

Paul Atkinson

Lawrence R. Brenton

Trustees

Connie C. Murray

Theresa L. Cummins, Village Clerk

Thomas V. Troy, Village Attorney

Edward Way, Dept. of Public Works

Absent

William Bancroft, Trustee

Village Clerk:

Pursuant to the requirements of NEPA and the Environmental Protection Agency, as published September 27, 1978; the Village of Williamsville Will hold a public hearing on a proposed Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Program within the Village limits. Said hearing will be held on August 11, 1980 at 7:30 p.m. local time in the Municipal Building located at 5583 Main Street.

The proposed program entails construction within the Village limits for the purpose of preserving the integrity of and reducing stormwater inflow to the existing Village Sewer System and Town of Amherst Wastewater Treatment Works. The program is presented in the recommendations of the SEWER SYSTEM EVALUATION SURVEY dated February, 1978; and prepared for the Village by McPhee, Smith, Rosenstein Engineers.

SSES PUBLIC

HEARING

Mayor Kuzon:

Thank you. A motion would be in order to open the public hearing.

Trustee Murray:

So moved.

Mayor Kuzon:

Is there a second?

Trustee Brenton:

Second.

Mayor Kuzon:

On the question? Carried.

As the Clerk has stated, the purpose of this hearing is to consider a proposed sanitary sewer rehabilitation program. Basically, the scope of the work included in this program includes construction within the Village limits to first of all preserve the integrity of our existing system and second and thirdly to limit the storm water inflow and other infiltration into the storm - excuse me - sanitary system. The majority of our sanitary sewer system was built between the years 1929 and 1931 with the exception of Cadman Drive which was built in the 50's.

Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:39 PM

Mayor Kuzon:

As the public notice states, the rehabilitation program is a result of our sanitary sewer evaluation which is otherwise known as the SSES. This evaluation looked at the cost effective ways of rehabilitating and maintaining our present system and one of the recommendations was a rehabilitation program. The total construction costs of the project is approximately estimated at \$500,000 but this is only a preliminary estimate and all the costs will be reviewed in detail this evening at the public hearing. SSES PUBLIC

Right now the Village is in the grant application process, to the Environmental Protection Agency for Step Two design grant and Step Three construction grant for our proposed program. The grant will fund most of the construction costs with the Village's share being approximately 25%. As the Clerk stated the draft environmental document has been prepared and has been available in our Village Clerk's Office over the last couple of weeks and this document includes a discussion of the scope of the project, discussion of the alternatives and the environmental effects and the cost and again will be available after the public hearing for your review. HEARING (Cont)

At this time I would turn the public hearing over to Trustee Murray who will state the ground rules and introduce our engineering consultant.

Trustee Murray:

Thank you. If there are any written comments will you please bring them forward at this time. If there are not, the public hearing will be held open until August 25th for written follow-up comments. We ask, as we usually do at public hearings, if you have comments or questions before you speak would you state your name and address.

We will start out with a presentation by our sewer consultant from URS Company, Inc. We have had a relationship with URS since our SSES was started about four years ago and have continued to hire them as our consultant in our sewer rehabilitation program. Their representative this evening is Ken Kloeber and he is with us to present to you what is to take place in our sewer rehab program. Ken -

Ken Kloeber:

Thank you. Before I start the formal presentation tonight, I'd like to acknowledge the people in the audience who have been helping on the program

Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:39 PM

Mr. Kloeber:

and probably will continue to help with it. First of all we have Richard Sweeney who is with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Region 9; Larry Clare from Erie County Department of Environmental Protection; and also Tom Ketchum from the Town of Amherst Engineering Department, the Assistant Engineer, and we do appreciate the help that the State and Town and everybody has been giving the Village to bring the program as far as it is and we hope that we will be able to carry on with it.

SSSES
PUBLIC
HEARING

(Con't

The purpose of the hearing tonight it really two-fold. One is to basically bring you up-to-date on the sewer system evaluation survey and its findings and the second is to discuss the environmental and cost aspects of the proposed rehabilitation program. The sewer system evaluation survey was completed in 1978, and there were several recommendations that came out of it. One of them was that the sanitary sewer system in the Village of Williamsville was subject to excessive infiltration/inflow and what this means is that the cost to rehabilitate the sewers and thus eliminate that flow was considerably less than the transportation costs and the treatment costs at the Amherst Treatment Plant. So, therefore, the recommendation was made to rehabilitate the sanitary sewer system. Subsequent to that, the Town has been conducting, or concurrently conducting its own sanitary sewer survey and this has been submitted to the State and the United States Environmental Protection Agency. The Village's sewer system evaluation survey has been approved by the State and thus the Village has submitted a preliminary grant application for 75% funding of eligible portion of the program.

The purpose of the hearing tonight is to present the plan and its costs to the public and after which we will submit the final grant application to the State for their consideration. We anticipate that the funding will be there and that there will be no problems with the funding. The State has assured us it is on the priority list and that the funds will be made available by the Environmental Protection Agency.

The alternatives that were considered in the draft environmental information document, of which copies are available up front if anyone cares to review it at this time, were basically five alternatives, numbered I through IV and what

Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:39 PM

Mr. Kloeber:

we are calling the Recommended Alternative. I'd like to briefly discuss those and the major aspects of them but before that you need to know that at the suggestion of reviewing agencies of the State Department of Environmental Conservation..they suggested that the Alternative I, which we are calling No Action, No Rehabilitation Alternative, be split into two separate alternatives - Alternative I being No Sewer Rehabilitation, No Relief Sewer, No Action whatsoever. We will look at the effects of that alternative and then split a separate alternative which we're calling IA which is No Rehabilitation. However, to transport flow to the Amherst plant we would need to build a relief sewer. That is the only major revision that there is between this document and what you will hear at tonight's hearing.

To briefly discuss the aspects of the alternatives, all flows that originate within the Village limits will continue to be treated at the Amherst Town Sewage Treatment Plant - what we're calling the Amherst Town Treatment Plant #16 near Tonawanda Creek.

SSES PUBLIC
HEARING

Alternative I considers absolutely no sewer (Con't)
rehabilitation be undertaken. All infiltration/
inflow and normal sanitary flow will be transported
by existing sewer system to the Amherst Town
system and then to the Sewage Treatment Plant.
There will be times when major storms will occur
which will exceed the existing system capacity.
Overflows which are in the system will continue
to operate bypassing to receiving waters and also
sanitary sewage at times may overflow onto street
surfaces. This is obviously an undesirable
alternative. Moreover, it is an illegal alternative
against federal and state regulations and thusly,
we considered the Alternative IA which is to
increase the capacity of the system so that no
overflows would occur and also up to a two year
designed storm would be transmitted to the Town
Treatment Plant.

Alternative II we considered very minor sewer
rehabilitation. Items like disconnecting down-
spouts, raising low lawn beds. Again all flow
would be transmitted to the Amherst Town Treatment
Plant. Now we did not consider increasing the
capacity of the system itself. Thusly, there
would still be increased flows that would be
beyond the system capacity. To handle these
excess flows it was planned that an overflow
retention facility be built near Ellicott Creek

Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:39 PM

Mr. Kloeber:

which would handle any flow in excess of system capacity, store it until after the storm and then it would be pumped back into the system and then taken to the Town treatment plant for subsequent treatment and discharge to the Creek.

SSES PUBLIC
HEARING

Alternative III - again we considered very minor (Con't) rehabilitation. We did talk about raising low manholes and very minor manhole repairs. There was absolutely no sewer pipe work or any major work to be undertaken. Again, an overflow retention facility would be required to handle excess flow and again all flow would be transmitted to the Amherst Treatment Plant.

Alternative IV considered minor..major rehabilitation of the sewer lines. By major rehabilitation we mean every item identified in the SSES as far as manhole work and as far as raising low manholes, pipe replacement, sewer connection repair, etc. was to be undertaken. If there is still excess flow in the system that the sanitary sewers cannot handle, we still need to provide overflow retention facility or some other means to try to capture that two year storm. It was again planned on Alternative IV that an overflow retention facility would be constructed near Ellicott Creek to capture that flow. Again, all flow was treated at the Amherst Treatment Plant.

The Recommended Alternative, and the last alternative we considered, was again major rehabilitation identical to Alternative IV but instead of providing overflow retention facility for that flow we would increase the system capacity to handle peak flows and take it to the treatment plant. The means for increasing system capacity would be to construct a new siphon across Ellicott Creek where the bottom land currently exists. Now all these plans - again, all sewage would be treated at Amherst treatment plant.

All these plans were prepared on a present worth basis which basically considers number 1 - the current construction costs of the project. In addition to operation and maintenance costs of number 1, treating the sewage, cleaning interceptor lines, cleaning collector sewers, cleaning the overflow retention basin if there was one constructed - and this was all considered over a 20-year period and brought back to present worth. Now, the present worth was 1978 when we prepared the study. However, if indeed this was accomplished even though the costs have gone up the relative

Mr. Kloeber:

magnitude between them would remain the same. The cheapest alternative we found was the Recommended Alternative by some Seven Million Dollars, or Six Million Dollars over a 20-year period. It was far more cost effective to rehabilitate the system than it was to treat the flow. This is basically because the treatment system of Amherst is a very expensive system in terms of operation and maintenance. By eliminating that flow that cost is reduced substantially. In addition, there are local costs that are considered in the analysis. The Village is currently paying, or will begin paying on a sliding scale for the next ten years going from their water consumption to actual sewage flow. If the infiltration/inflow is not reduced in the system they will begin to pay for it in the next ten years. It is very costly to local residents.

SSES
PUBLIC
HEARING
(Con't)

The environmental aspects are detailed in the environmental draft document. However, to very briefly go over them, we found that the Recommended Alternative was by far the most environmentally sound alternative because it has the most impact on reducing possible overflows in the system. For that reason, Alternatives I, IA, II and III were basically eliminated from further discussion because they might possibly still allow overflow from the system to the receiving line. In addition, there is also a sanitary sewer line which would continue to break over the years and would not.... the alternative would not provide for fixing those breaks. Alternative V, which is the Recommended Alternative does not impact on Ellicott Creek as would Alternative V....IV due to construction of overflow retention facility. If the overflow retention facility was to be built as in Alternative IV it would need to possibly be located out of the flood plain which would have to be located there because it could not be located right near the siphon. We would have to provide extensive flood protection on it and we would also be impacting on the Ellicott Creek. Therefore, we decided that the Recommended Alternative V was the most environmentally sound. It impacts the least on land use, there is no long term change, there is very minor disturbance during the construction period. I have, later on in the program, some slides to show what this rehabilitation program will look like during construction.

Mr. Kloeber:

As far as water quality, fish and wildlife, again the Recommended Alternative eliminates the infiltration/inflow and overflows of the receiving waters and is environmentally sound. There are no endangered species that will be impacted by the project. There will be minimal impact as far as air quality; possibly during construction there may be some short term effects just simply because of construction dust which we will try to reduce as much as possible. The other alternatives will have a minor impact, adverse effect on the environment in that possible odors would continue during overflows or during the time that fluid is stored in the overflow retention facility.

SSSES PUBLIC
HEARING
(Con't)

Archeology - There are no impacts on archeology in the area because the Recommended Alternative deals only with existing road...street right-of-ways and existing disturbed areas. The other alternatives show construction that will happen in undisturbed areas and possibly would then disturb archeological artifacts. The Village has requested from EPA a waiver on the Stage 1 Cultural Resource Survey, which is an archeological study, for the reasons stated. They have not received, but do expect confirmation on that waiver.

Noise - once again there'll be short term impact on all alternatives basically during construction. However, the impact that would be associated with major construction of a relief sewer or overflow retention facility are much greater than short term effects during the minor rehabilitation program such as proposed in the Recommended Alternative. Mitigation measures we would impose would be reduction of construction noise whenever practical and operating only during the working hours.

Aesthetics - there will be no long term change in the Recommended Alternative since all areas are at basic full development and all areas will be restored as soon as practical after construction. There will be some short term effects during rehabilitation or construction as with any construction. However, the effects on all the other alternatives would be much more simply because we are talking about large scale constructions of relief sewer or long term change at Ellicott Creek where the overflow retention facility would be located.

Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:39 PM

Mr. Kloeber:

As far as socio-economics, the plan that was selected was the least costly to the residents, it provides for the best long term results in that infiltration/inflow and costly treatment thereof is reduced over the construction period and eliminated for the next ten years when the sliding scale goes from water consumption to sewage flow.

SSES PUBLIC
HEARING

(Con't)

At this time I'd like to discuss the costs of the program and briefly present a range of costs that are associated with it. The total project is estimated at about \$750,000 - that is total construction costs in the Recommended Alternative. The only item that has been dropped from that... two items have been dropped from that which were recommended were 1. construction of a new siphon and 2. some storm sewer construction along Cadman Drive. The State has asked us to reevaluate the siphon and also hold off on the storm sewer construction until we find out what the results of the rehabilitation program are. This is not funded by the State or Federal government. The Village is going on their cost of the storm sewer construction alone. Therefore, it is not being considered part of the project tonight. Therefore, the construction, engineering, legal and administrative costs for the entire project are estimated at about \$750,000. Of that the Village would be liable for their local share which is 25% of eligible costs plus a minor amount of ineligible costs such as homeowner costs for disconnection of downspouts, raising of low lawn beds. The total local costs for the Village is a little over \$200,000. We made a preliminary schedule on how we would appropriate the money for that. Assuming that \$90,000 would come out of the sewer appropriation, approximately \$50,000 will come out of force account work handled by Village personnel with the remaining \$61,000 being bonded over a thirty year period. The yearly cost for the first two years assuming construction over two years and appropriation and force account work done over two years, would be approximately \$3.44 per thousand. On an average household for the Village of say \$7,000 value, we would be talking about \$6.00 per quarter in tax rate or about \$24.00 per year per household. That's for the two years of the project. After that the appropriation would drop out and we are only talking about the bond account, recouping the money on that which drops down to about \$2.00 per year per household.

Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:39 PM

Mr. Kloeber:

On the second plan we took more of the money from the appropriation and put it into the bond account. The split was approximately \$30,000 for appropriation in the sewer fund, again \$50,000 for force account work and a little over \$120,000 to the bond account, again over 30 years. The tax rate was then lowered for the first two years to approximately \$2.33 per thousand which worked out to a little over \$4.00 per quarter or \$16.00 per year per average household of \$7,000 value. After the first two years, again we're only considering the bond account which brings us to approximately \$1.00 per quarter per household - \$4.00 per year. This represents on the average tax bill now of anywhere from \$80.00 to \$150.00 per year. The plan one increase anywhere from 16% to 30% for the first two years and an increase in tax from anywhere from 1% to 3% for the remaining year. On the second plan the way we split the appropriations amounted to anywhere from 11% to 20% increase in tax in the first two years and the remaining years a 3% to 5% increase.

SSES PUBLIC
HEARING

I have some slides at this time which would answer probably any questions people might have as far as the program itself, the type of rehabilitation we may get into. Maybe it would be appropriate at this time if anyone has any questions before I go into that they might come up at this time and ask them.

(Mr. Kloeber then presented his slides.)

The first few slides I have deal with the SSES survey and the work we did to determine the results. Flow monitoring was accomplished in the manholes to determine the amount of infiltration/inflow into the system. This is done in manholes with flow meters such as this. What we found was that there were manholes that did have surcharge in them and caused environmental problems not only just in exceeding system capacity that was expensive to treat but when these manholes would overflow, as you can see here, the sanitary debris, paper, etc. onto people's lawns, onto grass areas, then might flow into other waterways, creeks, drainage areas and then to the streams. It would also reduce the system's capacity to handle sanitary flow and in addition, would overload the sewage treatment plant at times and cause increased problems there.

Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:39 PM

Mr. Kloeber:

We have gone through a television...an internal television inspection of the sanitary sewers to identify which areas are causing the high infiltration/inflow. These have been identified and are in the maps in both the SSES report and also the environmental document and detail the areas that construction will take place. There are varied types of construction. For instance, we may find that the infiltration/inflow is due to cracked pipe. The first thing is to go in to open up.....we go to a predesign phase and determine whether it was a cracked pipe or broken pipe which can leak and find if it needs to be replaced. As a predesign phase, you go in and determine the accurate cause and whether we go into slip lining, excavation and repair, excavation and replacement and then with the final design prepare plans and specifications for the project and put it out for bid. Once it's bid we start on the items one by one. For instance, if we found cracked or broken pipe we open up a small excavation in the street above the sewer line and carefully excavate by hand down to the line and expose the cracked pipe. As you would see, that happened to be a very bad break and let in a lot of infiltration/inflow. All this pipe would be covered up but the cracks would let water in. We would remove the broken pieces, remove the broken sections of pipe and replace it with new piping. Here we show the completed section with new pipe in it.

SSES
PUBLIC
HEARING
(Con't)

Another typical thing you might run into is where we have a service connection that is leaking. That's the "Y" right at the sewer main where your house ties into it. A lot of times that is broken, damaged due to utilities that are put in and just from negligence in the beginning when first installed. Here's an example of a broken lateral. This lateral came down and at this service connection was leaking. You can see the ground water flow that is coming in from here. Now - it would be excavated down to this point, the service connection removed and repaired. This is a typical repair. One other item we might undertake is sewer slip lining. We have not identified that because it is relatively new item and we have been developing costs on it in other programs. We will, in the predesign phase, deliberate the cost of that phase again, assess the replacement cost versus slip lining and other costs and come up with the most cost effective means of handling the situation. Here we have a pipe

Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:39 PM

Mr. Kloeber:

which was very badly cracked between sewer segments. We would insert a plastic liner into the pipe for the entire length between manholes. After the pipe is inserted we can see broken away section of the old pipe. After the pipe is pulled between manholes then the existing house service connection would be tied into it. Here is the completed construction with the service connection tied in and graded and back-filled the trench with gravel.

SSSES PUBLIC
HEARING

Now all during construction the residents' safety (Cont.) and existing utilities would be kept in mind. At all times the streets would remain open where-ever possible and if not possible to remain open, alternate means for fire, ambulance, police protection would be made. Here you can see we maintain one line of traffic during construction. You see the disturbance during the construction period is very minimal. A small opening at point location, for the most part off of any resident's property; in the street locations, minimal debris around the area.

The existing utilities would be protected wherever possible. We have a sewer service connection here which was repaired. Here's an existing water main above it. We would protect it and existing services would be maintained to the residence. Again a water main, a gas main here that crosses the trench. They're all going to be protected insofar as possible. If, as does happen, utilities aren't staked out correctly, there may be instances where there might be minor temporary changes that they don't have utilities. The contractor is aware of these things and they are fixed as soon as they are broken.

After the point repair is made, depending on weather, the areas go through a restoration. They are restored to their pre-existing condition. This is an area where we had a trench. We had a sewer repair. As you can see there was very minimal disturbance around it. The ground shows a rough grading, a rough restoration. This happened to be last....no very early spring when they did this project. As soon as practicable after construction, the people's residence, property will be restored to original condition.

If anybody has any further questions or comments we would be glad to answer at this time.

Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Monday, August 11, 1980 at 7:39 PM

Mr. Kloeber: People from the State - no questions, comments?

Mayor Kuzon: Any Board member have any additional comment?
Written comments will be accepted, you indicated, until August

SSES PUBLIC
HEARING
(Concluded)

Mr. Kloeber: August 25th.

Mayor Kuzon: 25th, so if any second thoughts after this evening's public hearing you will have an opportunity to put them in writing and deliver them to the Village Clerk's Office. Also, if during that time you would be able to look at the document that has been prepared and that Ken described to you this evening which presented the alternatives, and you may do that and submit a written comment also.

Once again, anyone in the audience have any additional comment? Any Board member? Seeing none a motion would be in order to close the public hearing.

Trustee Murray: So moved.

Mayor Kuzon: Is there a second?

Trustee Atkinson: Second.

Mayor Kuzon: On the question? Carried.

The hearing was closed at 8:10 PM.

Theresa L. Cummins,
Village Clerk-Treasurer