

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Friday, May 24, 1985 at 5:30 PM

Roll Call

Present

Gordon J. Kuzon, Mayor

Lawrence R. Brenton

Richard Gallagher Trustees

Michael Kibby

Carolyn Schlifke

Theresa L. Cummins, Village Clerk

Thomas V. Troy, Village Attorney

Phil Boudreau, Dept. of Public Works

Mayor Kuzon called the special meeting to order at 5:30 PM..

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Brenton, the minutes of the regular meeting held May 13, 1985 were approved.

Unanimously carried.

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Schlifke, the minutes of the public hearing held May 13, 1985 regarding the rezoning proposal for the Wellington Court area were approved.

Unanimously carried.

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Brenton, the minutes of the public hearing held May 13, 1985 regarding the proposed rezoning of the Conrail property were approved.

Unanimously carried.

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Gallagher, vouchers in the amount of \$60,647.41 were approved for the period from May 13, 1985 thru May 24, 1985 as follows:

Payroll Fund	W/E 5/17/85	\$ 5,704.17
	W/E 5/24/85	<u>5,878.49</u>
Total Payroll Fund		\$11,582.66
General Fund	Abstract #585	43,375.74
Sewer & Water Fund	Abstract #166	4,216.16
Glen Park Jt. Activities	Abstract #105	1,289.46
Federal Revenue Sharing	Abstract #170	<u>183.39</u>
Total Vouchers		\$60,647.41

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Friday, May 24, 1985 at 5:30 PM

The largest voucher is for New York State Retirement, which is an annual payment, for \$42,258.75.

Unanimously carried.

Trustee Schlifke said she had no report tonight.

Trustee Gallagher said he had no report tonight.

Trustee Kibby said he has to talk to the Board about the Meeting House and get some information from the Board and Mr. Boudreau as to what we want to do ourselves and with special D.P.W. help and what we're going to do through others.

Trustee Brenton said he had no report. He said that basically the reason for this meeting, aside from signing vouchers and things of that nature, is that we have received the URS report. We will not meet again until June 10th and we don't want to wait until then, primarily because of the construction season that confronts us and we want to have the work that is indicated as pertains to the sanitary sewer only in the URS report handled at this time. We want to appoint URS as the engineers to go ahead and do the sanitary sewer work so they can draw up the necessary specifications. We tried to have the meeting earlier but this was the very earliest we could do this and it puts all of us at an inconvenience especially at 5:30 p.m.

Trustee Brenton said his first resolution deals with John Garono who is already employed as a seasonal laborer in the D.P.W. The Clerk had told him that \$3.50 would be the scale for Mr. Garono. However, we do have a policy on second year returning employees we do increase it to \$3.75 an hour and he would recommend this as he understands Mr. Garono is a very good worker and also if you paid someone \$3.50 last year you should give them more this year. Mrs. Schlifke asked if this was full time and Trustee Brenton said it was.

ON MOTION by Trustee Brenton, seconded by Trustee Gallagher, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED that John Garono, 20 S. Long Street, is hereby appointed Seasonal Laborer in the Department of Public Works, effective May 20, 1985 at the rate of \$3.75 per hour.

APPOINTMENT

Seasonal Laborer
in D.P.W.

Unanimously carried.

Trustee Brenton said his second resolution deals with the URS report. Paul Bowers is here to answer any questions. However he asked that we wait until suspension of rules because it is the intent of the Village Board to go ahead

and do what we have to do here now. So that the results of the evaluation of the conditions down there as far as sanitary sewers are concerned indicates that there is a significant dip closer to Milton Street and there are other problems in that general area. We're looking for an excavation and repair of approximately 110 feet, which will be larger than that more than likely by a few feet because of the inability sometimes to find a solid piece of pipe to tap into. We will be using PVC pipe, which we have used in the past. URS through this resolution will be developing the necessary specs. They are familiar with it through the study and the anticipated cost of this is \$1,800. The primary problem that does exist is the dip in the sewer and that, as he understands it, is not a total impediment in any nature. The only thing is that the sewer is filled at most times with water, or effluent at any rate, and once the sewer is required to run again it does run. However, it is not a good situation. It is a situation that has been brought to our attention and we intend to correct it. It should resolve any problem, or any concern, outside of a couple of intrusions of roots that exist on that entire length of sanitary sewer once it's rehabilitated.

ON MOTION by Trustee Brenton, seconded by Trustee Kibby, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED that URS Company, Inc. is hereby authorized to prepare plans and specifications for the excavation and replacement of the Sanitary Sewer line on Los Robles between MHs 331 and 332 at a cost not to exceed \$1,800.00.

APPOINTMENT

**Engineer to draw
specs for
Los Robles
Sanitary Sewer
replacement**

Trustee Gallagher asked if that was the only place. He knows that is recommended as the most serious situation. They also indicated that there were flatter slopes and dips in other sections of the line. So this is a major problem but are there others that will follow? Mr. Bowers said that there is a minor dip that is located right near manhole 132. He doesn't see that you could provide slope on the major portion of the line. It shouldn't create that much of a problem if it's taken care of with regular maintenance. Trustee Gallagher asked what it creates. Mr. Bowers said potential settling of the solids in that section of the line because the velocity in the line is not fast enough to carry the solids that are in the sewage along through the line and so consequently you need a minimum of about 2 feet per second in the line to carry solids through and in an 8" pipe when you get below slopes of .4%, .35% it carries a potential of solids settling out. Trustee Gallagher asked why you wouldn't fix that too. Trustee Brenton said it's not really necessary. It is within the maximum allowables for 8" sanitary sewer and he is sure that we will find that throughout our system, that where we have minor settlement

of this nature, and we've had this throughout the rehab in areas we have not addressed that have this similar problem. It is mentioned in the report because this whole area was surveyed. There is a missing tile on one section. Now that may create a greater problem than anything else, but Paul assures him that that is not a problem. The top half of the tile is gone, a 3' length of tile. To him this is something you would definitely address, however Paul indicates it's no big problem, that this will go on and on forever. Trustee Brenton said he even said what would happen if two years from now if it collapsed and the residents on the street said, well they knew it was there, and they didn't do it. He said that Paul has assured him that this is not a problem. Trustee Brenton said it would really be overkill to go and dig up this section, as it would be to follow through throughout the Village and dig up similar sections, probably even sections that are in worse condition.

LOS ROBLES
SEWER
REPLACEMENT
DISCUSSION

Trustee Gallagher said his question is that the most serious situation is a major dip at one end and that refers to what we're looking to URS to develop specs to address. Should not we be also including the other work so that we're taking care of it rather than just one piece? The question is that at the current time who cleans these? Trustee Brenton said the Village has its own forces and equipment for cleaning the sanitary sewer. He asked Phil when it was cleaned last. Mr. Boudreau said it was cleaned in the last year and a half to his knowledge five times. Trustee Gallagher said the recommendation is that we should clean it four or five times a year. He would take it that we're doing that. Then why do we have problem? Trustee Brenton said if we are cleaning it four or five times a year, and Trustee Gallagher is indicating that we're still experiencing problems. Trustee Brenton said he is not sure we're experiencing problems in all due respect to the neighbors that live there because he can't tell about the entry points of their laterals. We'll have to see. We should be able to function with that type of cleaning, which normally would be excessive. Historically we haven't done a great deal of sewer cleaning. We set up a five year program some years ago and he thinks we've gotten most of the way through it. He asked Mr. Bowers to speak to the question of why we are having a problem, or not having a problem, are we having a problem. Is it a problem because this line is submerged that is affecting the homeowners.

Mr. Bowers said it is a problem from the standpoint that once these solids settle out, specifically in the major dip area, the water contains grease and grease adheres to these solids, and more solids are added onto that and there are potential blockages that could occur. Trustee Gallagher asked if this could occur in the other sections without their being impaired. Mr. Bowers said they could, but not as quickly.

Trustee Gallagher asked if they had been addressed. Trustee Brenton said have we gone down at the request of a resident who is being impacted by sanitary sewage in his or her basement and freed the line up and then the resident himself being freed up. Trustee Brenton said he thinks that's the answer to the question. In other words, he asked Phil if he had ever been called by a resident, or is there a resident present who can recollect a time when he called the D.P.W. and said he had sanitary sewage in his basement and you had better come down and look at your line and then they came down and cleaned the line.

LOS ROBLES
Sewer Replacement
Discussion
(Con't)

Charles Rizzone, 136 Los Robles, said he had called them in February. He knows other residents have called the Village and they have come and cleaned it out and the water has gone down. He knows of two other times. The latest one was in February.

Mr. Bowers said if you look at the tapes of the minor dip, it is obvious when you get to the major dip you have all kinds of stuff down there. In the minor dip it was still above water level. The sewage was moving slowly, but it was still above - you could still see the top of the pipe. In the major dip the camera was completely submerged.

Ken Luczkiewicz, 156 Los Robles, said with respect to the problem and does it require cleaning that if you take a look at the tape of that section you will find that it was a 2X4 clog or whatever it was, roots and grease and it's pretty obvious that it does require cleaning. Mr. Boudreau asked if that was in the major dip area and the gentleman said yes. He asked Mr. Bowers how long he thought it would take to accumulate that material - a couple weeks, a couple of months, half a year. Mr. Bowers said he thought it would take a month. Trustee Gallagher asked if that meant it would require cleaning monthly rather than four or five times a year. Mr. Bowers said the beginning of the accumulation effect would probably occur in a month to a month and a half but in visualizing the cost effectiveness of the actual cleaning operation it should be done four or five times a year. Trustee Brenton asked if there was a log on the tape. Mr. Bowers said it was a log or a 2X4. Trustee Brenton said that's an obstruction and it's going to create a backup. Something of this nature rather than what a sanitary sewer line is designed at 8" capacity to handle, that somehow or another this log, stick or whatever manages to lodge, that's going to create a significant accumulation. Mr. Bowers said this was something the camera found. It's an indication that cleaning was required. Trustee Gallagher said that even if the log wasn't there it could still fill up within a month. Trustee Brenton said that's true of that particular section. Mr. Bowers said it might not fill up but you would start feeling the effects..

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Friday, May 24, 1985 at 5:30 PM

Trustee Schlifke said then what they are saying is that if this cleaning is not scheduled say on a six-week basis and that were not addressed the only indication of the back-up occurring would be when somebody on that street called the Village and told them they had back-up problems. In other words, first you would have to have the problem and then go and clean it out unless you have a very strict schedule for cleaning that. Mr. Bowers said that there would have to be a schedule for carrying out the cleaning. Trustee Schlifke said if the large dip were replaced, we're talking about other areas of smaller dips. She doesn't know how long the sewer line has been in. Is there any possibility of future settlement where the small dips are occurring now. Mr. Bowers said the cleaning could take care of them. Mayor Kuzon asked if the small dips were in the area of normal engineering specifications for slope. Mr. Bowers said it was a downward slope but it appeared to him to be under the .4%. Mayor Kuzon said that the major dip that the resolution addresses in terms of rehabilitation there's no question that that doesn't meet the standard specs for slope or whatever. Mr. Bowers said you can see with the camera it going uphill. When you can see the camera coming uphill it's pretty major. It might be that when they excavated they excavated the rock too deeply, realized they were going off slope and then started to come uphill again. Mayor Kuzon said they had discussed whether it was a question of proper backfill underneath the sewer at that point.

LOS ROBLES
Sewer Replacement
Discussion
(Con't)

Mr. Troy came into the meeting at this point and said he assumed that a dip meant that a pipe was going along at a given angle and all of sudden at a given point there's a drop, a greater angle...

END OF SIDE ONE OF TAPE

...Mr. Bowers said there is a break further down the line. Trustee Brenton said a dip is like a fault. It goes down and then back up. Mr. Troy said when it goes back up that's what creates the clogging. In other words, it does attempt to right itself and get into its original pattern and that's what creates the problem. Trustee Brenton said the dip is the wrong, the rest is all right. The 10' section that Trustee Gallagher is questioning is in advance of the larger dip. Once the major dip is repaired this should certainly flow. The sewer itself is on solid rock so there's not going to be any settlement. The only thing that could have happened as they dug the rock they deviated from the alignment. They didn't fill in those days. It is required now. Certainly as they blasted solid rock and gouged it out with a backhoe certainly in some areas they go a little more than in other areas. On Cadman Drive where that occurred they had taken a piece of wood and shoved it in there. Maybe that's what occurred here

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Friday, May 24, 1985 at 5:30 PM

The wood rots and the pipe settles. Today we have certain specifications.

Mr. Rizzone said if you clean this on a regular basis will you run the risk of breaking more tiles. Mr. Bowers said if you eliminate the major dip you could go on a lesser cleaning schedule. You would clean less often because you would have less blockages. Trustee Gallagher said the report's recommendations is that you do heavy cleaning four to five times a year after. Mr. Bowers said that would be without the improvement, or rehabilitation. Trustee Brenton said the sewer is 50 years old or better and he feels the sewer could take any number of cleanings if there were no major problems within it. The cleaning method we use - the brush - is not going to cause that kind of trouble. It's like a chimney sweeper. Mr. Bowers said the upstream end of that looks really good up near California Drive except where there are roots. The inside of the pipe looks to be in very good shape. Trustee Kibby said we are cleaning that four of five times a year now and Trustee Brenton said we've only gotten into that recently. Trustee Kibby said but during the last 18 months we've cleaned it five times. He asked if we had cleaned any other sewer five times in that period of time. Trustee Brenton said no. We do this one because it is a known problem area. Trustee Kibby asked if anyone had called the D.P.W. besides him and Mr. Boudreau said yes, he can remember two times. Trustee Kibby said and then the D.P.W. cleaned the Village sewer and that took care of the problem and Mr. Boudreau said it took care of the apparent problem. Trustee Kibby said it took care of the immediate problem and Mr. Boudreau said yes.

LOS ROBLES
Sewer
Replacement
Discussion
(Con't)

Mr. Boudreau asked how the house laterals looked on the tapes, at the upper end. He was told the ones up near California looked very good except for the one point. It's at that point where it looks as though the roots are coming in just before the lateral. He could see about half of the lateral beyond the roots. They slowed the tape down and stopped it. If there was root intrusion through the lateral the whole thing would be full. Otherwise most of the laterals looked pretty good except for the ones in the downstream area. Right at the end of the major dip there's one that doesn't look too good. Trustee Kibby said that particular owner has put \$380 to have that lateral cleaned out, and that was him. The gentleman said he thinks it is because it is located right at the major break. This is included in the 110' to be rehabilitated. The major dip is some 40'. This break was noted in 1977 and there's been no change.

Trustee Kibby asked if there was anything wrong with construction on 334 and Trustee Brenton said Mr. Bowers had indicated that that general area was one of the best sewers that he could imagine.

Trustee Kibby said he was referring to the manhole. Mr. Bowers said he just glanced into the manhole and was not studied in depth. Trustee Kibby said that at the one manhole, the one where the pipe comes in from Wellington Court, the sewage comes in at one level and the sewer that carries it out is at another level and therefore you have to have a build up in order to get enough sewage, or get the sewage up high enough to reach the other sewer leaving the manhole. He knows the Village has repaired those situations in other places. Trustee Brenton said it was only done under the SSES and rehab program. Trustee Kibby said that brings a lot of effluent at one time and if you have to build it up in the manhole. Mr. Bowers said it's better if the one going out is up a little higher than the one coming in. Mr. Boudreau said it depends on how high the one going out is. Some you should fix and others you should leave alone. Trustee Brenton said Mr. Boudreau could look at that and if he thinks it's a problem then we can talk further.

LOS ROBLES

Sewer
Replacement
Discussion
(Con't)

Trustee Gallagher said on page 5 of the report with respect to recommended alternatives how far does today's resolution go in respect to that. Trustee Brenton said he thinks the resolution does in effect cover that. Trustee Gallagher said even including the minor dip. Trustee Brenton said the minor dip has been left out. It is a recommendation of URS, an engineering firm, and engineering firms do have a tendency to try to make the world perfect. If you wanted to make a perfect sewer you would excavate 160' in contrast to 110'. However, in his opinion and he thinks in Mr. Bowers' opinion, we are comfortable with the 110'. If for some reason Mr. Bowers is not comfortable with that he can go ahead and indicate that. Again, in order to get 10' you're adding 50' to the project and the subject 10' is not of that degree of significance, certainly not that different from many other areas of the Village. The expenditure of another \$5,000 would appear to be not warranted unless at some future date there was indeed a problem here. This will be checked after it's completed, TV'ed, etc. and he doesn't feel we will have a problem. If you did feel this suspect 10' should be replaced then he could only recommend replacing that 10' and any of the peripherals on either end that might be affected as a result of construction work. So he would be then be saying he doesn't agree with the original 40'. Trustee Kibby said dig two holes instead of one and Trustee Brenton said yes. Trustee Brenton said you have to use your own judgement and in his judgement it would not be cost productive to go and rip up and additional 40' unaffected area in order to replace 10'. He thinks the resolution goes to the extent that is necessary and he thinks Mr. Bowers agrees with that. The firm has to give its employer all the options available to him in order to protect the firm. He thinks the engineering

firm would be remiss not to say do it all and if they examined our sewers he is sure they would find many other areas that needed rehabilitation. Trustee Brenton said he does not see the necessity of doing more than what is proposed in the resolution.

LOS ROBLES

Trustee Gallagher asked if it was the 110 feet for the \$1,800. Mr. Bowers said that does not affect the engineering costs at all. They have to draw the whole length of the sewer from manhole 332 to 331, they have to draw the whole profile, the details are all the same, the specs are the same, so it really doesn't impact the engineering costs. It would \$1,800 whether it was 160 feet or 110 feet. But it does affect the construction costs, and by about \$5,000. One possibility might be to leave it open during the bid and see what is bid for 160' and 110'. Trustee Brenton said you're still digging up 40' of unnecessary road pavement. It will never be the same. That's why the street was not repaved until long after the SSES rehabilitation of the sanitary sewer had been done in the first block. It was left unpaved, frankly at his direction, because he knew darn well that it would be kind of ridiculous in the first place to pave the street and then dig it up for sanitary sewer work. He could live with that but they would have had a poor street surface that you would have driven on for many years after that because a cut is a cut and there's nothing that can ever change it. Trustee Brenton said he can't agree with digging up 40' and saying so what we'll blend that pavement in, etc. He asked the engineer if the 10' needed to be excavated and repaired. Mr. Bowers said it doesn't need to be from the standpoint of additional maintenance, in other words your interval between maintenances. It should still be decreased with the elimination of just the major dip.

Sewer
Replacement
Discussion
(Con't)

Trustee Kibby said it's up to the Village as to whether they should spend \$5,000. Is the 10' worth fixing for some price, if it comes down to \$5,000 and the resultant damage of doing the extra 40'. Mr. Bowers said the advantage of staying away from the 10' would be that you would be staying away from the manhole, which could be damaged during construction and you would be looking at extra to replace the manhole. It would cost about \$2,000 to replace a manhole now, Trustee Brenton said. Trustee Brenton said he didn't think it was appropriate to address the question to Mr. Bowers to ask him if he were to spend the Village's money. Trustee Kibby said it's a question he has to answer and he has to rely on an engineer and a Trustee who seemingly have agreed but there obviously are differences of opinion as to the value of replacement. Trustee Kibby said his inclination is to go along with the whole 160 feet because to him \$4,000 or \$5,000 isn't that much more money in the total Village budget in order to fix a problem that apparently has been there for a number of years. Whether we have to live with a 110' stretch of bad road or a 160' stretch of bad road we've got

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Friday, May 24, 1985 at 5:30 PM

to live with a stretch of bad road perpetually. It is given now that we're going to repair this.

Trustee Brenton said the bad road is not the problem. He could have the Town of Amherst give him a recommendation, and thinks their engineer has given us one. There is no need to excavate the 10'. The point to be made is that an engineering firm is going to give his client the total scenario and he thinks this is what has been done here. How many other areas of that type of depressed sewer of only that limited 10' do you think we could find within the Village? Trustee Gallagher said the question is that if this Village has gone on record, which it has at this meeting, that this area is the one designated to be cleaned four or five times a year. Trustee Brenton said it hasn't. We've only done that within the last year, year and a half. Previously we probably cleaned it on an annual basis. Trustee Gallagher said that he didn't hear it correctly then. Trustee Kibby said it was cleaned five times in the last year and a half. Trustee Brenton said that's what he said - in the last year, year and a half that they have done this. Trustee Gallagher said that for other areas within the Village they are not cleaned like that. So the thing he would have to surmise from that is that this is a recognized area that has a problem. Trustee Brenton said exactly. Trustee Gallagher said then do you repair only 110' or go the whole shot. Trustee Brenton said you don't need to go the whole shot. The engineer recommends that a) the 10' that you want to do now in fact precedes, is ahead of the extremely bad area. In other words you're not giving the rehab work you will do in the extremely bad area to reflect on this 10'. You will never know what the 10' is really, outside of degree of decline within that 10' area, you will never know how it will function until you dig the major area. In other words the 10' area is upstream from the 110' area. So the 110' is trapping. If you put a dam in a creek or anything of that nature, or any flow that's going to impact you adversely upstream and that's exactly what's taking place here. Even if you rehab at a cost of \$16,000 for 160', \$100,000 a foot, you have 10' that may be suspect, at a later date you wouldn't even need bids or specifications to have a contractor come in with three informal proposals. The only reason you need bids and specifications now is because you're going over \$7,500. But you would not need it at a later date.

LOS ROBLES
Sewer
Replacement
Discussion
(Con't)

Trustee Gallagher said this serves an existing area but would it be impacted by any development, whether it be the building of one house or several? Trustee Brenton said the report indicates that this sewer will handle up to a significantly greater proportion than presently lives there. The section affected would be the one upstream from what we're talking about which is .35% slope which has a capacity of .46 million gallons a day, and the peak flow was .34 million gallons a day. Mr. Troy said when the expression estimated peak

future flow is used, what is the basis of estimation? The basis was the domestic sewage flows based on the population - 287 people. He has outlined in the report how he arrived at the 287 figure. Mr. Troy said that did include then a potential for development in the area south of there. Mr. Bowers said yes. Trustee Gallagher said this was only Los Robles and Wellington and Mr. Bowers said yes. Mr. Rizzone said that was not included in the manhole prior to us though, the one that Mr. Kibby mentioned before. Was that looked at at all? Mr. Bowers said they didn't go back that far. Capacity-wise the sewers are fine. Trustee Kibby said the number of 95 future residents on Wellington is probably very high in terms of actual figures if the type of housing that is proposed is built. He asked if this was a comfortable margin. Mr. Bowers said yes. He said it really shouldn't be a problem capacity-wise. When you normally design a sewer you look at an 8" sewer brand new with very little infiltration and inflow at .4% slope serving 1800 people.

LOS ROBLES
Sewer Replacement
Discussion

Trustee Gallagher asked if we pass this resolution now does it encompass the entire 160' as far as preparing specs? Trustee Kibby said it covers the area from manhole 331 to manhole 332 which is about 300'. Mr. Bowers said it would just be a matter of what we would designate on the plans. Engineering-wise it wouldn't make a difference. They would be providing specifications for the same action - removal and replacement of a sanitary sewer. From an engineering standpoint the work effort is the same. We probably already have topography on Los Robles between those two manholes. It would take 3 to 4 hours to get the rest of it, and then they would provide the Village with a plan of that section of sewer, with the houses located and the water lines located, so the contractor when you bid this out has to location of everything so he digs up the right sewer, and...

(Con't)

END OF SIDE TWO OF TAPE

...(Mr. Bowers) there's no increase in cost. Trustee Kibby said it seems to him the real problem for us is not even tonight. All we're asking here is for specifications. The real problem is going to come when we decide to let bids. Are we going to advertise for one bid for 110', are we going to advertise for one bid for 160', or do we advertise for alternatives - what would you charge for 110' and what would you charge to do another 50' or another 10'. Trustee Gallagher asked when we do that. Trustee Brenton said we go by the recommendation of the engineer as to what needs to be replaced and if he wants to develop specs for 160' at no additional cost that's his prerogative. If you want to do this entire length because in your opinion it would be good to do that and it would be \$5,000 well spent then he would request an immediate study of the Highland Drive sewer. Trustee Kibby said he didn't say it was good. He

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Friday, May 24, 1985 at 5:30 PM

was just reading the recommended alternatives. It says the more effective alternative would be the excavation and replacement of 160' of sewer. Trustee Brenton asked Mr. Bowers what was meant by more effective. Is that in the event the 10' was dug up? Mr. Bowers said yes. Trustee Brenton said in other words he means to keep right on going and dig up 40' regardless of whether it needs to be dug or not. He asked if that was what he meant or not. Why else would a person dig up 40' of sewer that didn't need to be dug up. Does the 40' of sewer have any problem in it at all? Mr. Bowers said the first 10' is less than desirable slope. Trustee Brenton said okay, you go zero to ten, then you go ten to fifty, and ten to fifty there's nothing the matter with it and then you go 50 furtheron and you're digging up 110'. The engineer is saying you start at your problem area and you just keep chewing like some humungous monster. He is not in anyway - and he should correct him if he is wrong in saying this - saying there is anything the matter with that 40'. He's just saying as long as you're there you might as well keep on going, dig, dig, dig.

LOS ROBLES
Sewer
Replacement

Discussion
(Con't)

Trustee Gallagher said it's a difference in cost. Trustee Brenton said you're going to run about \$70.00 a foot. Mr. Bowers said the estimate for 110' would be \$11,600 versus \$16,900 for 160'. He gave the estimate for the worse case scenario.

Trustee Gallagher said when this was started it was to be done through meetings with the residents as well as the engineers, and up to now there has been that package. Where does this go? Do we discuss this with the residents or is that process ended? Trustee Brenton said we have informed the residents, certainly if they want any more input on it they're welcome to come to the Village Board meeting and during suspension of rules ask any questions they want. Trustee Gallagher asked if we're meeting with the residents to explain this to them or is this why Dwight's here and other residents. Trustee Brenton said he had the Clerk inform Dwight (Hamilton), who he hoped would inform the rest of his neighbors that we're having the meeting if they wanted to be here.

Dwight Hamilton, 166 Los Robles, asked if they wanted a brief response to that. Their committee has not had a chance to meet. They just got the report. They work, they have jobs and they cannot drop everything. They have started to look at it. Some haven't even had a chance to read it yet. But until the committee can meet and assimilate the report and what has happened here tonight we couldn't possibly say anything until the residents have a basis for responding. Trustee Gallagher asked what their time frame would be to be able to do that. Mr. Hamilton said

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Friday, May 24, 1985 at 5:30 PM

they wouldn't know until the committee had a chance to meet. Trustee Brenton asked if Mr. Hamilton was referring to the sanitary situation. Mr. Hamilton said both. Trustee Brenton said the storm is out of it. We are not here for the purpose of discussing the storm. We're here in order to immediately rectify the sanitary problem. As far as the committee is concerned he is afraid they will have to be informed post facto other than the people that are here because as far as he is concerned he wants the sanitary done and if the committee says we don't think we want it done, or we want to wait and think about it, and that's why he questioned whether Mr. Hamilton was referring to whole report or just the sanitary. The purpose of this meeting is simply the sanitary. Trustee Gallagher said he agrees with that. All he is saying is that as a group we indicated and went on record that we were going to work with the community and the citizens in that area to put this together. And there is also the question as to whether we're going to rezone it or not, as far as Wellington. But on this separate issue, as far as he is concerned, we said we work with the committee. As far as he is concerned, they should be entitled to meet and give some feedback. That does not preclude us from adopting a resolution for them to go ahead and proceed with the excavation. He is saying that we can vote on this and then as a separate issue, as Mike raised, which is do we want 110' or 160'. Trustee Kibby said that will come back to us after the engineers have set up the specs and we have to go to bid. And that's an argument for a future day. He doesn't mean to be critical of his neighbors but he doesn't see what the neighbors will say about putting out the engineers to develop specs. What does a neighbor know. Their complaint was answered by sending TV cameras and the engineers through the sewers one way or another.

LOS ROBLES
Sewer
Replacement
Discussion
(Con't)

Mayor Kuzon said what we have to tell Mr. Bowers is to draw specs for 110' or 160'. Trustee Brenton said it is for 160'. If everyone is comfortable with proceeding with this resolution and have no problem with the \$1,800 which will be in addition to the \$3,500 already expended for the study, it's probably going to be August before we get the bids out. He asked if there was any possibility of having specs drawn by June 10th and going to bid by June 10th. Mr. Bowers said he didn't know about going to bid but they can have initial plans and specifications ready by then. Trustee Brenton said then we could open on July 9th or whatever it would be because again you're within the framework of the single meetings during the months of July and August. He will be gone. He asked if the meetings would be the second or fourth Monday of the month. He was advised it would be the fourth Monday. Trustee Brenton said if there is no problem with it and there's no further questions, he would like a vote on it.

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Friday, May 24, 1985 at 5:30 PM

Unanimously carried.

Trustee Brenton said his third resolution deals with the water line for Union Road and this had to be on the agenda. The State of New York is going to pay for this particular work. We are not going to pay for it. They need the feeling that the Village desires and almost insists on 8" water lines. Then in this project we are dealing with an 8" water line and then a line that goes up Plymouth Avenue which they will be relocating, thus causing a need for a new water line within the parameters of their project. That line is 3½" going down Plymouth and this creates an ambiguity. The Village requires 8" water lines and that's what we want on Union Road but now we're listing Plymouth as 6" and you have to explain that it's due to the fact the Plymouth is a dead-end line; that it has a limited number of services, etc. and that should be the thrust of the resolution. There should be no development beyond what is there and even if there was any development it would only get a 6" line. It wouldn't be from us anyway. We could have this replaced with 3½" line but to dig up this street and not do what is appropriate at no cost to the Village would be kind of foolish. Originally we were going to pay for it but through negotiations they will pay for it.

ON MOTION by Trustee Brenton, seconded by Trustee Gallagher, the following resolution was adopted:

RESOLVED that any water line installed within the Village of Williamsville shall have a minimum diameter of 8 inches, and

WATER LINES

Minimum size determined

FURTHER RESOLVED that any water line servicing Plymouth Place shall be not less than 6 inches in diameter. This exception results from the limited number of services on Plymouth Place, the dead-end water line and the short length of the street.

Unanimously carried.

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Kibby, it was moved to suspend the rules for public participation.

Unanimously carried.

Charles Rizzone, 136 Los Robles, asked if on June 10th the Board votes in favor of rezoning does that mean that the developer can begin building immediately after that. Mayor Kuzon said no. It would have to go through another process called exceptional development and that requires advisory recommendations from four Village committees - Planning Board, Traffic & Safety, Environmental and Parks. The site plan would

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Friday, May 24, 1985 at 5:30 PM

be reviewed by all those committees, as well as the architectural review by the Planning Board as their separate jurisdictions. Trustee Kibby said the plans have to be drawn first and then it goes to the Building Department and they need time to go over them and that would take more than a day or two. Then it would go through exceptional development which would require another public hearing after the committees have sent the Board their advisory recommendations. And then the exceptional development permit would have to be approved or denied based on input from the committees and the public at a public hearing.

Mr. Dwight Hamilton, 166 Los Robles, conveyed a word of thanks from his neighbors, especially for the way the Town and Village collaborated in getting the information for URS. He thanked the Board for the URS study and the opportunity to work with the Board, which they want to continue to do. There is a whole lot yet to be considered. They appreciate the Board's understanding and consideration toward the residents.

Kenneth Luczkiewicz, 156 Los Robles, asked about the root problem. Mr. Bowers said the grease and solids that accumulate can be handled with regular maintenance. This is about putting the sewer line in shape so that it can be brought into line with other normal maintenance schedules throughout the Village. If you replaced every line with roots in it you could go broke. They can cause capacity problems. These roots come through the top which isn't as bad as coming through the side, as is the case near the main break. Mr. Luczkiewicz asked what recommendations there would be for keeping the roots clear of the sewer. In his case he has roots coming into his lateral from the main pipe. He doesn't advocate digging up the pipe but the Village should take care of this so he and his neighbors don't have to pay for cleaning their laterals of roots from the main pipe. Mr. Bowers said they may be coming from the other side of the street through the joint into the sewer and then up. He doesn't think that's the situation in that area of 99'. The roots are coming in through the top which is unusual. Trustee Brenton said he thinks the question is if the resident needs a cleaning from the house to the sanitary main, will the Village take care of that. Mr. Luczkiewicz said he is talking about the people in his area whose laterals get clogged up by the main line, is that the Village's responsibility. Trustee Brenton said the main line is the Village's responsibility but not the lateral. Mr. Luczkiewicz said then he is put in a position of no control because the problem is in the main pipe and he can't fix the problem in the main pipe. He can only fix a problem in the lateral and they have measured the snake and it didn't hit roots until the very end where it entered the main pipe. Trustee Brenton asked if that

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Williamsville held in the Municipal Building, Williamsville, New York on Friday, May 24, 1985 at 5:30 PM

was one of the areas where they had found root intrusion and Mr. Bowers said yes.

Mr. Hamilton said he should make it clear that the committee has many questions. Just because this is not the time to get into them it doesn't mean they don't exist. They have had more encouragement from this administration than from any administration in years and they want to do everything they can to work with this administration to see the problem solved. They are encouraged by what's gone on so far and they want to continue to work with the Board. We haven't even touched on storm sewers. There is a whole lot to be covered yet. He thanked them again for their help and encouragement. Trustee Brenton thanked Dwight for his help too and the rest of the residents. Mr. Hamilton said through the years all the input that has gone to the Board somehow has not been assimilated. How could all this be unknown. Trustee Brenton said any problem in the Village that has been brought to his attention has been addressed. He is happy that we have had a Board that is willing to take care of the problems.

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Brenton, it was moved to return to the regular agenda.

Unanimously carried.

ON MOTION by Trustee Kibby, seconded by Mayor Kuzon, it was moved to recess to executive session at 6:55 PM.

Unanimously carried.

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Kibby, it was moved to return to regular session at 8:30 PM.

Unanimously carried.

There was a general discussion regarding questions and comments of the Board relative to Wellington Court.

ON MOTION by Mayor Kuzon, seconded by Trustee Kibby, the meeting was adjourned at 9:00 PM.

Unanimously carried.

Theresa L. Cummins,
Village Clerk-Treasurer